

Bioaccumulation Patterns of Zinc, Copper, Lead, and Cadmium in Grey Mullet, *Mugil cephalus* (L.), from Harbour Waters of Visakhapatnam, India

R. Sultana, D. P. Rao

Department of Zoology, Andhra University, Waltair 530 003, India

Received: 10 November 1997/Accepted: 15 April 1998

Bioaccumulation patterns of metals in fish tissue can be utilised as effective indicators of environmental metal contamination (Atchison *et al.*, 1977; Larsson *et al.*, 1985). Fish exposed to high concentrations of trace metals in water may take up substantial quantities of these metals. Visakhapatnam harbour situated on the east coast of India is highly polluted (Ganapati and Raman, 1973) and is a threat to fish fauna (Ganapati and Raman, 1976; Panduranga Rao *et al.*, 1990; Sultana and Srinivasa Rao, 1994).

The present paper deals with bioaccumulation of Zn, Cu, Pb and Cd in different organ systems of grey mullet, *Mugil cephalus*, a detritus feeder living in contaminated waters of the harbour. A comparison is also made with *M. cephalus* collected from the relatively uncontaminated waters of Gostani estuary (Bhimilipatnam), 35 Km north of the harbour.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Visakhapatnam harbour is a natural harbour situated on the east coast of India (17°41' N 83°17' E) and the water ways have no circulation other than tidal effect. The effluents from near by industries, a petroleum refinery, a fertiliser plant and a lead and zinc smelter are discharged into North-Western arm (S₂) of the inner harbour through surface drain known as Meghadri Gedda. The city's domestic sewage also drains directly into the Northern arm (S₃) of the inner harbour. The inner harbour is thus a stagnant pool of pollutants accumulated because of indiscriminate discharge of industrial and domestic waste waters.

M. cephalus were collected at monthly intervals for a period of one year (from March 1986 to February 1987) from both the stations of inner harbour, however samples from the Gostani estuary (S₁) at Bhimilipatnam (17°54' N 83°28' E) were collected only during 1986 summer and the specimens were used as control.

Muscle, gill, alimentary canal, liver and kidney were removed, dried, ashed and digested in HNO_3 . The concentrations of Zn, Cu, Pb and Cd were estimated with Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Perkin - Elmer -380). The water samples at all the three stations were analysed for Zn, Cu, Pb and Cd concentrations through ammonium pyrrolidine dithiocarbamate (APDC) and methyl iso-butyl ketone (MIBK) extraction followed by back extraction into HNO_3 (Brooks *et al.*, 1967; APHA, 1985). Significance of the differences in metal concentration values between the fish from control and contaminated stations were tested statistically ($p < 0.05$) by employing the student's t-test. Concentration factor (CF) was measured as the ratio between mean metal concentration in the sample and its mean concentration in the ambient water.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data on the metal concentration in the water samples as well as in the different organs of *M. cephalus* from the three stations (S_1 , S_2 and S_3) are summarised in table 1. The concentration factor (CF) of metals is considered as an index of accumulation of the metal in the organs in relation to concentration in the ambient water and the same for different metals in various organs were delineated in table 2.

Of the four metals studied here, Zn and Cu are essential elements while Pb and Cd are non-essential elements for most of the living organisms (Trief, 1980). Zn being an essential element for normal growth, reproduction and longevity of animals, its accumulation in the fish organs was very high when compared with the other three metals. Cu, the other essential metal was also relatively at greater concentration when compared with the other non-essential metals (Pb and Cd).

Irrespective of whether the metal is an essential or non-essential, the accumulation levels of all the four metals in different organs were always significantly greater than the levels in the ambient waters. Thus S_2 appears to be highly contaminated where the accumulation of the metal in the fish organs were also high.

Alimentary canal and gills can be considered as the interface of the organism and its ambience. The former is the system which receives directly from the ambient source and the latter is the site directly exposed to the ambient conditions and also is known for its excretory functions even for some metals like Zn (Nakatani, 1966; Matthiessen and Brafield, 1977). Thus, the levels of the metals observed in gills and alimentary canal have varied widely among different stations.

Table 1 Bioaccumulation of metals in the different organ systems of *Mugil cephalus* from the three stations

Metal / Station	Metal content in the ambient water		Metal concentration in the different organ systems				
			Alimentary canal	Gill	Liver	Kidney	Muscle
Zinc							
S1 (n = 10)	17.60	$\bar{X} \pm SE$ (Range)	122.74±22.70 (10.47-246.66)	73.49±12.18 (28.57-139.00)	108.86±16.10 (40.63-176.90)	69.04±18.19 (9.62-181.71)	6.56±1.73 (1.70-18.77)
S2 (n = 36)	1600.00	$\bar{X} \pm SE$ (Range)	133.79±12.89 (26.56-372.07)	119.75±12.40 (11.54-359.38)	157.33±15.82 (9.97-442.86)	143.11±16.51 (21.86-405.66)	24.35*±2.82 (5.83-84.30)
S3 (n = 10)	1000.00	$\bar{X} \pm SE$ (Range)	133.07±25.35 (18.22-290.42)	119.38±25.29 (21.65-296.46)	131.68±18.25 (66.84-268.68)	155.60*±42.71 (ND-375.00)	22.04±2.19 (9.58-34.08)
Copper							
S1 (n = 10)	ND	$\bar{X} \pm SE$ (Range)	1.53±0.39 (ND-3.73)	1.99±0.56 (ND-6.16)	2.91±0.86 (ND-6.86)	0.86±0.53 (ND-5.28)	0.50±0.21 (ND-2.22)
S2 (n = 36)	20.00	$\bar{X} \pm SE$ (Range)	10.15*±1.48 (0.44-41.07)	6.92±1.18 (ND-33.85)	25.17*±5.13 (ND-82.21)	15.06*±4.07 (ND-122.09)	1.99*±0.74 (ND-24.18)
S3 (n = 10)	15.00	$\bar{X} \pm SE$ (Range)	12.12*±3.93 (1.69-46.26)	7.83±1.90 (0.94-22.87)	12.70*±3.07 (2.67-36.74)	7.73*±2.12 (ND-22.44)	1.65*±0.46 (0.11-4.40)

contd.....

Table 1 contd.....

Metal / Station	Metal content in the ambient water		Metal concentration in the different organ systems				
			Alimentary canal	Gill	Liver	Kidney	Muscle
Lead							
S1 (n = 10)	ND	$\bar{X} \pm \text{SE}$ (Range)	0.93±0.63 (ND-1.89)	9.43±1.86 (ND-17.78)	1.57±0.89 (ND-7.81)	0.40±0.28 (ND-2.57)	1.22±0.64 (ND-6.45)
S2 (n = 36)	50.00	$\bar{X} \pm \text{SE}$ (Range)	12.30*±2.69 (ND-80.21)	16.98±1.32 (ND-34.12)	11.90*±3.75 (ND-87.42)	13.48*±3.16 (ND-73.81)	3.12*±0.71 (ND-17.44)
S3 (n = 10)	30.00	$\bar{X} \pm \text{SE}$ (Range)	11.34*±3.79 (ND-34.50)	12.77±2.19 (ND-23.86)	9.12*±2.97 (ND-26.02)	10.09*±3.01 (ND-25.28)	3.36±0.89 (0.59-10.32)
Cadmium							
S1 (n = 10)	ND	$\bar{X} \pm \text{SE}$ (Range)	0.53±0.30 (ND-2.86)	0.51±0.21 (ND-1.84)	0.60±0.38 ND-3.92	0.29±0.19 (ND-1.83)	0.16±0.09 ND-0.89
S2 (n = 36)	20.00	$\bar{X} \pm \text{SE}$ (Range)	1.45±0.22 (ND-4.96)	2.23*±0.25 (ND-7.11)	2.22±0.35 (ND-9.26)	3.13*±0.56 (ND-11.63)	0.51*±0.19 (ND-6.24)
S3 (n = 10)	15.00	$\bar{X} \pm \text{SE}$ (Range)	1.13±0.36 (ND-3.30)	1.77±0.30 (ND-3.15)	2.25*±0.84 (ND-7.63)	2.79*±0.51 (ND-5.00)	0.21*±0.10 ND-1.07

\bar{x} = mean ; SE = Standard error of the mean ;
 * = Significant (P < 0.05) when compared with S₁;
 Metal accumulation values are given in µg/g;

n = number of fish examined
 @ = Significant (P < 0.05) when compared with S₂ (Student's t-test)
 ND = not detectable; .

Table 2 Mean concentration factor (CF) of the different heavy metals in the different organs of *M. cephalus* at S₁, S₂ and S₃

Tissue	Station	Zn	Cu	Pb	Cd
Muscle	S ₁	1.093	1.000	-	-
	S ₂	0.015	0.010	0.062	0.026
	S ₃	0.022	0.110	0.112	0.014
Gill	S ₁	12.248	3.980	-	-
	S ₂	0.075	0.346	0.340	0.112
	S ₃	0.119	0.522	0.426	0.120
Alimentary canal	S ₁	20.460	3.060	-	-
	S ₂	0.084	0.507	0.250	0.70
	S ₃	0.133	0.808	0.378	0.075
Liver	S ₁	18.140	5.820	-	-
	S ₂	0.098	1.260	0.238	0.111
	S ₃	0.132	0.850	0.304	0.150
Kidney	S ₁	11.510	1.720	-	-
	S ₂	0.089	0.750	0.269	0.156
	S ₃	0.156	0.515	0.336	0.186

CF was calculated as the ratio between mean metal concentration in the sample and its mean concentration in the ambient water.

Of the remaining three organs, liver, kidney and muscle, liver in most of the cases and in the control station, occupied the first place in the potential for accumulation followed by kidney. This was true for all metals except for Cd, which had high accumulation in the kidney.

Liver appears to be one of the important site for metal bioaccumulation as was also evident from some of the earlier studies. Wiener and Giesy (1979) has recorded 548.1 µg/g (dry wt.) of Cu in the liver of a bowfin, *Amia calva*; Kureishy (1981) has reported 441.5 ppm (wet wt.) of Zn in the liver of seer fish, *Acanthocybium solandri*; Hilmy *et al.* (1985) has reported 33.0 µg/g (dry wt.) of Cd in the liver of *M. cephalus*. Thomas *et al.* (1985) have suggested that in Rainbow trout, *Salmo gairdneri* 99% of the Cd burden is taken by the liver. Though the present results confirm the high potential of the liver, but differ with the observations of Thomas *et al.* (1985) as kidney also has shown good potential for accumulation of the metals. The results of the present study broadly suggest that *M. cephalus* growing in metal contaminated waters in all probability could accumulate metals in their bodies in quantities enough to cause toxicity to the consumers. Further, the metal content in the liver and kidney of *M. cephalus* can be used as indicator in the rapid assessment of the metal contaminated waters and the data in the present study substantiate the observations of Handy (1992) and Pelgrom (1995) that metal concentrations in the organs of fish rather than the metal concentrations in the water are suitable for environmental monitoring especially when trying to relate the toxicity of metal to the biological function of specific organs.

Acknowledgements : Our thanks are due to the head of the Department of Zoology for providing facilities. One of US (R. S.) is thankful to U.G.C. for financial assistance. We are also thankful to Prof. K. Srinivasa Rao and Dr. K. Kameswara Rao for their help in preparation of the manuscript.

References

- APHA (American Public Health Association) American water works Association and water Pollution Control Federation (1985). Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water 16th ed., American Public Health Association, Washington.
- Atchison GJ, Murphy BR, Bishop WE, McIntosh AW, Mayes RA (1977). Trace metal concentration of bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*) from the Indiana Lakes. Trans Am Fish Soc. 106 : 637-640.
- Brooks R R, Presley BJ, Kaplan IR (1967). APDC-MIBK extraction system for the determination of trace elements in saline waters by atomic-absorption spectrophotometry Talanta, 14: 809.
- Ganapati PN, Raman AV (1973). Pollution in Visakhapatnam harbour, Curr Sci 42 : 490-492.

- Ganapati PN, Raman AV (1976). Mass mortality of fishes in Visakhapatnam harbour Indian J Mar Sci 7 : 54-55.
- Handy RD (1992). The assessment of episodic metal pollution I: uses and limitations of tissue contaminant analysis in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) after short waterborne exposure to cadmium or copper. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 22 : 74-81.
- Hilmy AM, Shabana MB, Dabees AY (1985). Bioaccumulation of cadmium toxicity in *Mugil cephalus*, Comp Biochem Physiol 81 C : 139-143.
- Kureishy TW, Sanzgiry S, Braganca A (1981). Some heavy metals in fishes from Andaman sea. Indian J. Mar. Sci. 10 : 303-307.
- Larsson A, Haux C, Sjöbeck M (1985). Fish physiology and metal pollution : Results and experience from laboratory and field studies Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 9: 250-281.
- Matthiessen P, Brafield AE (1977). Uptake and loss of dissolved zinc by stickle back *Gasterosteus aculeatus* L. J. Fish. Biol 10 : 399-410.
- Nakatani RE (1966). Biological responses of rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) ingested zinc-65. Disposal of Radioactive Wastes in Seas, Oceans and surface waters. Vienna : IAEA, 809-823.
- Panduranga Rao D, Bhaskar BR, Srinivasa Rao K, Durga Prasad YVK, Someswara Rao N, Venkateswara Rao TNV (1990). Haematological effects in fishes from complex polluted waters of Visakhapatnam harbour, Mar Environ Res 30 : 217-231.
- Pelgrom SMG J, Lamers LPM, Lock RAC, Balm PHM, Wendelaar Bonga SE (1995). Interactions between copper and cadmium modify metal organ distribution in mature tilapia, *Oreochromis mossambicus*. Environ Pollution 90 : 415-423.
- Sultana R, Srinivasa Rao K (1994). Tissue disorganisation in a hyperplastic liver of a catfish *Mystus gulio* (Ham.) collected from the highly polluted harbour waters of Visakhapatnam, India. J Mar Biol Assn India 36 : 286-290.
- Thomas DG, Brown MW, Shurben D, Solbe JfDG, Cryer A, Key J (1985). A comparison of the sequestration of cadmium and zinc in the tissues of rainbow trout, *Salmo gairdneri* following exposure to the metals singly or in combination. Comp Biochem Physiol 82 C : 55-62.
- Trieff NM (1980). Environment and Health. Ann-Arbor Science Publishers. Inc., Michigan.
- Wiener JG, Giesy Jr JP (1979). Concentration of Cd, Cu, Mn, Pb and Zn in fishes in a highly organic soft water pond. J Fish Res Bd Can 36 : 270-279.